



## View Comment

### Comment Information

**Document Section** [▶ Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy](#) [▶ Chapter 3 - Strategic Policies](#) [▶ Policy SP 1 - scale of new development](#) [▶ Policy SP1 - Scale of New Development](#)

**Comment ID** 507

**Respondent** Cllr Andrew McKinlay

**Response Date** 21 Nov 2013

**Uploaded By** Jordan Langdon-Bates

**Current Status** Accepted

**Response Type** OBJECT

**What is the nature of this representation?** Object

**Comment**

- ▶ Too many houses. The plan showing Cheltenham with more sites than its assessed need of housing – 10,850 homes compared to 10,000 needed from 2011 -2031 – though even 10,000 might be too high when population figures are re-issued in 2014.

### Supporting Documents

**Submission Method** Email

### JCS Comments

### Recommended Changes



## View Comment

### Comment Information

**Document Section** [▶ Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy](#) [▶ Chapter 5 - Strategic Allocation Policies](#) [▶ Policy A 7 - South cheltenham - up hatherley urban extension, Cheltenham](#) [▶ Policy A7 - South Cheltenham - Up Hatherley Urban Extension, Cheltenham](#)

**Comment ID** 508

**Respondent** Cllr Andrew McKinlay

**Response Date** 21 Nov 2013

**Uploaded By** Jordan Langdon-Bates

**Current Status** Accepted

**Response Type** OBJECT

**What is the nature of this representation?** Object

**Comment**

- ▶ This development is in highest category of green belt as in the 2011 green belt review, more account should be taken of brownfield sites, and small to medium developments around villages – not simply extending into the countryside.
- ▶ It will create endless and soulless suburban sprawl with no decent green spaces
- ▶ By breaching Up Hatherley Way, it represents the loss of what is today a very clear and defensible boundary between town and country.

### Supporting Documents

**Submission Method** Email

### JCS Comments

**Recommended  
Changes**



## View Comment

### Comment Information

<b>Document Section</b>	<a href="#">▶ Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy</a> ▶ <a href="#">Chapter 5 - Strategic Allocation Policies</a> ▶ <a href="#">Policy A 7 - South cheltenham - up hatherley urban extension, Cheltenham</a> ▶ <a href="#">Policy A7 - South Cheltenham - Up Hatherley Urban Extension, Cheltenham</a>
<b>Comment ID</b>	509
<b>Respondent</b>	Cllr Andrew McKinlay
<b>Response Date</b>	21 Nov 2013
<b>Uploaded By</b>	Jordan Langdon-Bates
<b>Current Status</b>	Accepted
<b>Response Type</b>	OBJECT
<b>What is the nature of this representation?</b>	Object
<b>Comment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▶ No mention of provision for schools, doctors' surgeries or community facilities.</li></ul>
<b>Supporting Documents</b>	
<b>Submission Method</b>	Email
<b>JCS Comments</b>	
<b>Recommended Changes</b>	



## View Comment

### Comment Information

<b>Document Section</b>	<a href="#">▶ Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy</a> ▶ <a href="#">Chapter 5 - Strategic Allocation Policies</a> ▶ <a href="#">Policy A 7 - South cheltenham - up hatherley urban extension, Cheltenham</a> ▶ <a href="#">Policy A7 - South Cheltenham - Up Hatherley Urban Extension, Cheltenham</a>
<b>Comment ID</b>	510
<b>Respondent</b>	Cllr Andrew McKinlay
<b>Response Date</b>	21 Nov 2013
<b>Uploaded By</b>	Jordan Langdon-Bates
<b>Current Status</b>	Accepted
<b>Response Type</b>	OBJECT
<b>What is the nature of this representation?</b>	Object
<b>Comment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▶ No studies of whether roads can cope, especially as there is now a planning application to build 650 houses in Leckhampton. This will bring congestion into Up Hatherley.</li></ul>
<b>Supporting Documents</b>	
<b>Submission Method</b>	Email
<b>JCS Comments</b>	
<b>Recommended Changes</b>	



## View Comment

### Comment Information

**Document Section** [▶ Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy](#) [▶ Chapter 4 - Core Policies](#) [▶ Policy C 2 - Housing Mix and Standards](#) [▶ Policy C2 - Housing Mix and Standards](#)

**Comment ID** 512

**Respondent** Cllr Andrew McKinlay

**Response Date** 21 Nov 2013

**Uploaded By** Jordan Langdon-Bates

**Current Status** Accepted

**Response Type** OBJECT

**What is the nature of this representation?** Object

**Comment**

- ▶ No mention of housing sizes and mix or whether this is going to help our young people who are trying to find housing they can afford inCheltenham.
- ▶ No account is taken of the effect of the Government initiative to allow extensions to existing dwellings or the removal of a requirement for planning permission for the conversion of agricultural buildings to dwellings of less the 150 square metres in satisfying demand in existing buildings

### Supporting Documents

**Submission Method** Email

### JCS Comments

### Recommended Changes

Powered by INOVEM Inclusionware™ - Online Consultation Software