



## Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy

### View Response

Answers to **4 key questions**

### COMPLETE RESPONSE

Response ID #246241. Submitted on 17 Nov 2013 13:46 by Cllr Anne Regan

Response visibility: **Unknown**.

#### Public Profile

**Name** Cllr Anne Regan  
**Email** anneregans80@gmail.com  
**Date** 17 Nov 2013 13:46

#### Private Profile

<b>Organisation</b>	CBC
<b>Address 1</b>	38A Canterbury Walk
<b>Address 2</b>	Warden Hill
<b>Address 3</b>	Cheltenham
<b>Town/City</b>	Glos
<b>Postcode</b>	GL51 3HG
<b>Contact No.</b>	01242 255556
<b>In addition to being involved in Gloucester, Cheltenham, Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy, what other area(s) of planning policy would you like to be kept informed? Please tick the appropriate box (you can select more than one box)</b>	Cheltenham Planning Policy
<b>Gender</b>	Female

<b>Age</b>	65+
<b>Ethnic Group</b>	White - British
<b>Ethnic - other</b>	
<b>Do you consider yourself to have a disability?</b>	No
<b>Religion or Belief</b>	Christian
<b>Religion or Belief - other</b>	
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	Heterosexual
<b>Sexual Orientation - Other</b>	

### Question 1

Do you think our strategy of focusing on urban extensions (i.e. development sites located around Gloucester and Cheltenham) is the correct one? If not, where would you propose to locate the new development?

Look further afield in rural areas i.e. Highnam or bordering the A38.  
Increase the number of houses in the Uckington development proposal

It is strongly opposed by residents in the SW that building is proposed in the Green Belt or on the White Land at Leckhampton

## Question 2

Do you think that we have identified the right sites based upon the strategy mentioned in question Q1? If not, which other areas/sites would you suggest and why?

No (see answer above)

The Leckhampton White Land is in an area of outstanding beauty used by local people and visitors to our hills, there is opposition from the whole area including the Leckhampton with Warden Hill Parish Council.

The one area of greatest concern is the congestion development will cause on the A46 and the effect this will have on the Bath road entrance to the town. It is simply not a suitable area for development and the possibility of a further 795 house on the Greenbelt off the Up Hatherley Way will only exasperate the situation.

## Question 3

Have we identified the right list of Rural Service Centres and Service Villages and is this the appropriate amount of new development for them?

Further development in the Winchcombe area would be good for local employment and sustaining that area economically.

Only 880 new homes spread throughout 15 Service Villages seems a very low number and this could be increased to take the load off the SW of Cheltenham. Also be good to help sustain the future of these small villages economically.

Has areas on the other side of the M5 been investigated ?

#### Question 4

Is there any aspect of the strategy that you wish to question or comment on as a result of additional or alternative evidence? For example, do you have alternative information or evidence for how much housing and employment should be provided, or what infrastructure is required to support the proposed growth?

We do need more home in Cheltenham but not the amount suggested this needs to be re-assessed

Residents in general have grave doubts on the methodology of calculations on the number of houses needed

There is a lack information from local Authorities informing the public about schools and where they will be provided. Road structures and traffic flow is not supported by local Authorities in a clear and positive manner

In the Warden Hill area there is great concern from local residents that developers plans do not show sufficient evidence to prevent further flooding after the turmoil caused in the 2007 floods.

#### Question 5

Do you have any further comments? If yes, please reference the section/ paragraph of the draft JCS you are referring to.

Reconsider the proposals for development in the Leckhampton area under Policy S6 - page 63

Reconsider the proposals for development in the Greenbelt land in Up Hatherley under Policy S5 -page 53 ( to check unrestricted sprawl of large built - up areas )  
greenbelt should not be released unless a strong case can be made.

**Question 6**

If you're responding following attendance at one of the JCS events, please state which one:

Please select zero or more options.

Ashchurch Village Hall	[ ]
Leckhampton Village Hall	[ <b>Selected</b> ]
Bishop's Cleeve Primary School	[ ]
Innsworth Community Hall	[ ]
Shurdington Social Centre	[ <b>Selected</b> ]
Cheltenham Regent Arcade	[ ]
Winchcombe Abbey Fields Community Centre	[ ]
Cheltenham Civil Service Club Uckington	[ ]
Brockworth Community Centre	[ ]
Gloucester Eastgate Shopping Centre	[ ]
Churchdown GL3 Community Hub	[ ]
Tewkesbury Town Hall	[ ]
Apperley Village Hall	[ ]
Alderton Parish Hall	[ ]