

Comment Information

5 - Strategic Allocation Policies Policy A 7 - South

cheltenham - up hatherley urban extension,

Cheltenham ► Policy A7 - South Cheltenham - Up

Hatherley Urban Extension, Cheltenham

Comment ID 4232

Respondent Nigel Britter

Response Date 28 Nov 2014

Uploaded By Alys

Current Status Accepted

Response Type OBJECT

What is the nature of this representation?

Object

Comment

I support

Sensible redevelopment of redundant

Brownfield land.

Conservation of the historic natural and built

environment.

A better social, economic and housing tenure

balance.

Small scale settlements - such as originally

suggested for Highnam.

Maintenance of the ecology and biodiversity of

the area.

Infrastructure improvements prior to development – i.e. schools, doctors, dentists, traffic open space, local facilities.

Local green space/green buffering.

Zero carbon building standards.

Better public transport to reduce car dependency – including;

Park & Rides at Brockworth/ Shurdington, and Uckington.

Light rail/tram link.

Junction 10 upgrade to four-way.

Local Bus services.

Meeting any demand for more local jobs.

A fair say in our future.

I oppose

The JCS area overall housing numbers.

Cheltenham's overall housing number.

Up Hatherley Way and Chargrove Lane proposed development.

Development of valued or productive Greenfield land.

Harm to the historic natural and built environment.

Threats to the ecology and biodiversity of the area.

Supporting Documents

Submission Method

Email

JCS Comments

Recommended Changes



Comment Information

3 - Strategic Policies Policy SP 1 - scale of new

development Policy SP1 - Scale of New

Development

Comment ID 2728

Respondent Nigel Britter

Response Date 13 Dec 2013

Uploaded By Brenda Diggens

Current Status Accepted

Response Type OBJECT

What is the nature of this representation?

Object

Comment

I support

Sensible redevelopment of redundant

Brownfield land.

Conservation of the historic natural and built

environment.

A better social, economic and housing tenure

balance.

Small scale settlements - such as originally

suggested for Highnam.

Maintenance of the ecology and biodiversity of

the area.

Infrastructure improvements prior to

development - i.e. schools, doctors, dentists,

traffic open space, local facilities.

Local green space/green buffering.

Zero carbon building standards.

Better public transport to reduce car dependency – including;

Park & Rides at Brockworth/ Shurdington, and Uckington.

Light rail/tram link.

Junction 10 upgrade to four-way.

Local Bus services.

Meeting any demand for more local jobs.

A fair say in our future.

I oppose

The JCS area overall housing numbers.

Cheltenham's overall housing number.

Up Hatherley Way and Chargrove Lane proposed development.

Development of valued or productive Greenfield land.

Harm to the historic natural and built environment.

Threats to the ecology and biodiversity of the area.

Supporting Documents

Submission Method

Email

JCS Comments

Recommended

Changes



Comment Information

New Development

Comment ID 2729

Respondent Nigel Britter

Response Date 13 Dec 2013

Uploaded By Brenda Diggens

Current Status Accepted

Response Type OBJECT

What is the nature of this representation?

Object

Comment

I support

Sensible redevelopment of redundant

Brownfield land.

Conservation of the historic natural and built

environment.

A better social, economic and housing tenure

balance.

Small scale settlements - such as originally

suggested for Highnam.

Maintenance of the ecology and biodiversity of

the area.

Infrastructure improvements prior to

development - i.e. schools, doctors, dentists,

traffic open space, local facilities.

Local green space/green buffering.

Zero carbon building standards.

Better public transport to reduce car dependency – including;

Park & Rides at Brockworth/ Shurdington, and Uckington.

Light rail/tram link.

Junction 10 upgrade to four-way.

Local Bus services.

Meeting any demand for more local jobs.

A fair say in our future.

I oppose

The JCS area overall housing numbers.

Cheltenham's overall housing number.

Up Hatherley Way and Chargrove Lane proposed development.

Development of valued or productive Greenfield land.

Harm to the historic natural and built environment.

Threats to the ecology and biodiversity of the area.

Supporting Documents

Submission Method Email

JCS Comments

Recommended Changes



Comment Information

Document Section Consultation Draft Joint Core Strategy Chapter

6 - Delivery Policies ▶ Policy D 1 - Infrastructure

Policy D1 - Infrastructure

Comment ID 2730

Respondent Nigel Britter

Response Date 13 Dec 2013

Uploaded By Brenda Diggens

Current Status Accepted

OBJECT Response Type

What is the nature of

this representation?

Object

Comment

I support

Sensible redevelopment of redundant

Brownfield land.

Conservation of the historic natural and built

environment.

A better social, economic and housing tenure

balance.

Small scale settlements - such as originally

suggested for Highnam.

Maintenance of the ecology and biodiversity of

the area.

Infrastructure improvements prior to

development - i.e. schools, doctors, dentists,

traffic open space, local facilities.

Local green space/green buffering.

Zero carbon building standards.

Better public transport to reduce car dependency – including;

Park & Rides at Brockworth/ Shurdington, and Uckington.

Light rail/tram link.

Junction 10 upgrade to four-way.

Local Bus services.

Meeting any demand for more local jobs.

A fair say in our future.

I oppose

The JCS area overall housing numbers.

Cheltenham's overall housing number.

Up Hatherley Way and Chargrove Lane proposed development.

Development of valued or productive Greenfield land.

Harm to the historic natural and built environment.

Threats to the ecology and biodiversity of the area.

Supporting Documents

Submission Method

Email

JCS Comments

Recommended

Changes